ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman.

& Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

	Case No. OA 804 of 2018. SRI KANAI LAL DAS –Versus- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
3 7.3.2019.	For the Applicants : None.	
	For the State Respondent : None.	
	The matter had appeared on 31 st January, 2019	
	when even on second call none had appeared on behalf	
	of the applicant. The matter was directed to appear	
	today under the heading "Admission Hearing".	
	Pursuant to the said direction, today the matter	
	has appeared under the heading "Admission Hearing".	
	Even on second call none appears on behalf of the	
	applicant. In this application, it appears the applicant has	
	challenged the order contained in the memo dated 6 th	
	July, 2018 transferring him from Khejuri-I Block, Purba	
	Medinipur to the office of Assistant Director of	
	Agriculture(Administration), Kharagpur Sub Division,	
	Paschim Medinipur and the release order dated 17 th July,	
	2018 on various grounds and certain reliefs have been	
	prayed which are as under :-	
	"a)This is to inform that on and from 17.07.2018, I	
	have not get any Justice from the Respondent Authority to	
	resume my duty as Upper Division Clerk or Head Clerk to	
	the Khejuri Block-I, Block-II, Nandakumar or any other	

SRI KANAI LAL DAS.

•••

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 804 of 2018.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	Kolkata Zone. At present, I am spending my days as an	
	unemployed and getting no stands on my legs and as such	
	it is difficult for me to maintain myself and my family also.	
	b) As such I have filed a writ application being W.P.	
	No. 13461(W) of 2018 before the Hon'ble High Court,	
	Calcutta to restrain the Respondent Authority regarding	
	their illegal transfer order dated 06.07.2018 and Final	
	Release Order dated 17.07.2018, I most humbly pray	
	before your magnanimity to allow me to join my service	
	with promotion and restrain my illegal transfer order from	
	the Hon'ble Court.	
	c) In view of the above, you are requested to	
	restrain my illegal transfer order from Contai Sub Division	
	to Kharagpur Sub Division with is about 250 Kms far away	
	from my residence and being a severe neuro and cardiac	
	patient. I am unable to join my work place which is very	
	harmful to my health and if the respondent authority want	
	to transfer me to any other Division, then kindly transfer	
	me with the promotion of Upper Division Clerk/Head Clerk	
	to the Khejuri Block-I, Block-II, Nandakumar or any other	
	Kolkata Zone".	
	In view of rule 16(1) of the West Bengal	

Form No.

SRI KANAI LAL DAS.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 804 of 2018.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1994 the	
	matter is taken up for adjudication.	
	Perused the application particularly the grounds	
	in support of the relief. We find no ground has been	
	made out justifying intervention in the matter directing	
	transfer of the applicant. In this regard it is appropriate	
	to refer to the judgement in the State of U.P. –Vs-	
	Gobardhan Lal : (2004) 11 SCC 402 wherein while	
	allowing the appeal filed by the State of Uttar Pradesh,	
	the Supreme Court held, inter alia, as under:-	
	7. "It is too late in the day for any government servant	
	to contend that once appointed or posted in a particular	
	place or position, he should continue in such place or	
	position as long as he desires. Transfer of an employee is	
	not only an incident inherent in the terms of appointment	
	but also implicit as an essential condition of service in the	
	absence of any specific indication to the contra, in the law	
	governing or conditions of service. Unless the order of	
	transfer is shown to be an outcome of a mala fide	
	exercise of power or violative of any statutory provision	
	(an Act or rule) or passed by an authority not competent	
	to do so , an order of transfer cannot lightly be interfered	

Form No.

SRI KANAI LAL DAS.

....

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 804 of 2018.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	with as a matter of course or routine for any or every type	
	of grievance sought to be made. Even administrative	
	guidelines for regulating transfers or containing transfer	
	policies at best may afford an opportunity to the officer or	
	servant concerned to approach their higher authorities for	
	redress but cannot have the consequence of depriving or	
	denying the competent authority to transfer a particular	
	officer/servant to any place in public interest and as is	
	found necessitated by exigencies of service as long as the	
	official status is not affected adversely and there is no	
	infraction of any career prospects such as seniority, scale	
	of pay and secured emoluments. This Court has often	
	reiterated that the order of transfer made even in	
	transgression of administrative guidelines cannot also be	
	interfered with, as they do not confer any legally	
	enforceable rights, unless, as noticed supra, shown to be	
	vitiated by mala fides or is made in violation of any	
	statutory provision.	
	8. A challenge to an order of transfer should	
	normally be eschewed and should not be countenanced	
	by the courts or tribunals as though they are Appellate	
	Authorities over such orders, which could assess the	

Form No.

SRI KANAI LAL DAS.

Form No.

.....

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 804 of 2018.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	niceties of the administrative needs and requirements of	
	the situation concerned. This is for the reason that courts	
	or tribunals cannot substitute their own decisions in the	
	matter of transfer for that of competent authorities of the	
	State and even allegations of mala fides when made must	
	be such as to inspire confidence in the court or are based	
	on concrete materials and ought not to be entertained on	
	the mere making of it or on consideration borne out of	
	conjectures or surmises and except for strong and	
	convincing reasons, no interference could ordinarily be	
	made with an order of transfer".	
	In view of the law laid down by the Supreme	
	Court, no order is passed on this application. The	
	application is dismissed.	
	(P. Ramesh Kumar) (Soumitra Pal) Member(A). Chairman.	
Skg.		